## **Feedback Form**

### Mill Lane, Taplow



Welcome to this important exhibition about the future redevelopment of the land off Mill Lane, Taplow. The feedback we get today and over the next few weeks will be essential in shaping the draft development brief for the site.

If you are not able to complete the form today please return it by the 1st July to Anna Mitra at Curtin & Co, 299 Oxford Street, London W1C 2DZ. Additional copies of the boards and feedback forms are available on www.taplowexhibition.co.uk

			ta	

Name:		
Address:		
Fmail:		
Email:		
<b>Board 4 Pedestrian and Cycle Access</b>		
<b>Option 1</b> . The three footpath options presented below are indicative, but seek local stakeholders' views on the broad concept of a riverside walk along the River Thames (Plan 6), a Looped Route (Plan 7) or the continuation of the footpath alongside the Jubilee River (Plan 8). Which would be your preferred Option, and should any of these routes be lit?		
Option 6 Option 7 Option 8		
Additional Comments		

<b>Option 2</b> . How important is it that proposals for regeneration of the Mill Lane site incorporate provision of a new foot crossing over the Thames?
Very Important Quite Important Not Important
Additional Comments
<b>Option 3</b> . Mill Lane currently offers a poor pedestrian environment. Would you like to see improvements to the road, such as a footpath alongside it, or additional car parking areas provided?
A new foot path along the road
Additional car parking
Any other suggestions
Which is most important to you?
<b>Option 4</b> . Are there any routes across the site that you would like to see improved for cyclists, for example along Mill Lane?

#### **Board 5 Road Access**

**Option 5**. The options might include:

- 1. Retain / improve the existing junction.
- 2. Close the existing junction, and provide a new access onto the Bath Road to the east (as indicated on Plan 9).
- 3. Have two accesses into the site off the Bath Road with one of the accesses potentially serving just part of the site (for example, the Skindles Hotel site).

example, the Skindles Hotel site).				
Which option would you prefer?				
Option 6. Would you support the closure of Mill Lane (to motor vehicles) at the point of crossing the Jubilee River?  Yes No				
Additional Comments				
<b>Option 7</b> . At present, many local employees and visitors park their cars on Mill Lane. Would you like to see improved or additional car parking provision, or given that this only happens for limited parts of the day, is it something you are content to let continue?				
Improved Additional Leave it				
Additional Comments				

#### **Board 6 Environment**

<b>Option 8</b> . Is using this space more intensively something you would be supportive of, bearing in mind the impacts of a more intensive use (such as car parking), or would you prefer a more informal, less intensive use of this space?						
Do you have any suggestions how this open space could be managed, particularly if a more intensive use was favoured?						
Option 9. What would your preference be with regard to opening up woodland areas, and would you like to see new woodland areas created?						
Board 7 Heritage						
Option 10. The part of the site containing the gasholder is outside of the Conservation Area and it may be a suitable location for new development. Is this something you would broadly support, given the conservation benefits of removing the gasholder?						
Yes No No						
Additional Comments						

<b>Option 11</b> . Do you support a hotel use on the Skindles part of the site?	<b>Option 14</b> . Dunloe Lodge is recognised as a significant unlisted building within the site, and is currently fire-damaged. Would
Yes No	you like to see the building brought back into productive use, and for what use?
And if the site is to be redeveloped, what are your views on the scale, siting and design of any new hotel building	Yes No
	Additional Comments
<b>Option 12. Glen Island House</b> . The conversion of the building for employment use is envisaged. Would you agree that this is a suitable future use to secure the upkeep and refurbishment of	Board 8 & 9 Built Form
the building? Yes No	Option 15. LOCATION
Additional Comments	a) Taking into account the development constraints on the site, do you think the right potential Development Areas have been identified on Plan 12?
Additional Comments	Yes No
	Additional Comments
	Additional Comments
<b>Option 13.</b> Mill Island House is recognised as a significant unlisted building within the site.	
Would you like to see the building brought back into	b) Rather than just redeveloping largely on the footprint of the
productive use, and for what use?  Yes No	buildings to be demolished, would you support the substitution of the footprint and massing of one or more of the buildings
	on a different part of the site, subject to reaching necessary agreement with the Council and Environment Agency on flood
Additional Comments	risk issues? Yes No
	Additional Comments

# Option 16. FORM Table 2 and Plan 13 outline the location of potential land uses with the Mill Lane Opportunity Area. Do you have any specific preference as to where on the site you would like to see these uses located? Option 17. SCALE a) Would you prefer new development to occupy a larger area of the site than the existing buildings, if this were to achieve a reduction in the height of new development, benefit visual amenity and not lead to an increased risk of flooding to people and property? OR b) Alternatively, would you prefer buildings of a similar height to the existing buildings, which would help reduce the overall spread of development within the Mill Lane Opportunity Area? Which views into or out of the site are particularly important? What development principles would help ensure these views are protected or enhanced?